# KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND BELIEF OF PROSTATE BIOPSY AMONG PATIENTS ATTENDING UROLOGY CLINICS IN UNIVERSITY COLLEGE HOSPITAL, IBADAN

By

**OLAWOLE, OLUWOLE ISAAC** (Matric Number: 210481)

# A RESEARCH DISSERTATION

# SUBMITTED TO

THE DEPARTMENT OF EPIDEMIOLOGY AND MEDICAL STATISTICS FACULTY OF PUBLIC HEALTH, COLLEGE OF MEDICINE, UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE AWARD OF MASTER OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN FIELD EPIDEMILOGY

FEBRUARY, 2021

# **CERTIFICATION**

This is to certify that the study reported in this project was carried out by Olawole Oluwole Isaac, in the Department of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria, under my supervision and guidance.

# Dr M.D. Dairo

MBBS, M.Sc. (Epid. & Med. Stat), M.Sc. Bioethics, MWACP, FMCPH

Associate Professor / Consultant Epidemiologist

Department of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, Faculty of Public Health, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan

.

## **DEDICATION**

This research project is dedicated to God: The Father, The Son and The Holy Spirit, who is my source of inspiration and pillar of strength, my most, dependable and reliable helper, from the beginning to the end of project.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

My most profound appreciation belongs to God almighty, the fountain of all knowledge, Excellency of wisdom and epitome of strength. To Him alone is all the glory.

I am grateful to my supervisor, Dr M.D. Dairo, for his clear direction and meticulous interest in the overall success of this project. May God continue to lift you higher sir.

I am in indebted with thanks to my darling and amiable wife, Dr (Mrs) Olawole-Isaac Adebanke, for her prayerful support and invaluable contributions to this project work. My lovely children, Iyinoluwa and Ewaoluwa, are also highly appreciated.

Immortal appreciation goes to my jewels of inestimable values; my beloved late parents, Mr and Mrs. David O. Olawole, though physically departed but their memories of love, passion and parental values imparted to me, has continue to sustain me through the journey of life. I am eternally thankful to you Mama and Papa. May your gentle souls continue to rest in peace.

Robust appreciation goes to the Chief Medical Director, University College Hospital, Ibadan (UCH), for the approval to undertake this program and to my immediate boss, Mrs Kemi Olateru-Olagbegi, Director of Medical Laboratory services, UCH, for her exemplary and pragmatic leadership. I will also never forget the kind and supportive disposition of my senior colleagues in the department of Pathology, UCH, Mr Lateef, Miss Farinloye, Mr Olabampe, Mr Otegbade, to mention but a few. May God bless you all.

I am deeply grateful to the following family members for their relentless prayers, encouragements and moral support; Daddy & Mummy Adeleke, Dr & Mrs. Awoyefa, Mrs. Kemi Fregene, Mr. & Mrs. Akinola. May God reward your labour of love.

A great deal of appreciation goes to my research assistants: Tumise, Oguntoyinbo, Samuel, Victor, Pelumi, my friend and course-mate, Mr Salami, to mention but a few, for their painstaking efforts during the field work. Public Health Nurses and Records staff at the Surgical Outpatients' Clinics of UCH are also not left out. May you all never lack help in your season of need.

| TABLE OF CONTENTS Cover Page                                |      |
|---|------|
| Title Page  | ii   |
| Certification   | iii  |
| Dedication  | iv   |
| Acknowledgements  | v    |
| Table of Contents   | yi   |
| List of Tables  | x    |
| List of Figures.  | xi   |
| List of Appendices  | xii  |
| List of Abbreviations.                                      | xiii |
| Abstract  | xiv  |
|   |      |
| CHAPTER ONE   |      |
| 1.0 Introduction  |      |
| 1.1 Background of the Study                                 | 2    |
| 1.2 Statement of Research Problem                           |      |
| 1.3 Study Justification                                     | 4    |
| 1.4 Broad Objective   |      |
| 1.4.1 Specific Objectives                                   |      |
| 1.5 Hypotheses  | 5    |
|   |      |
| CHAPTER TWO   |      |
| LITERATURE REVIEW   |      |
| 2.1 Prostate Cancer   | 6    |
| 2.1.1 Risk Factors  | 6    |
| 2.1.2 Symptoms and Signs                                    | 8    |
| 2.1.3 Treatment   | 8    |
| 2.1.4 Survival  | 9    |
| 2.1.5 History of Prostate Cancer Burden in Nigeria          | 9    |
| 2.1.6 Methods of Detection and Diagnosis of Prostate Cancer | 10   |
| 2.1.6.1 Clinical Diagnosis                                  | 10   |
| 2.1.6.2 Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA)                     | 11   |

| 2.2 Prevention  | 11 |
|---|----|
| 2.2.1 Lifestyle Modification  | 11 |
| 2.2.2 Prostate Cancer Screening   | 12 |
| 2.3 Demographic/Personal Factors Influencing Uptake of Prostate Screening and |    |
| Biopsy  | 13 |
| 2.3.1 Age   | 13 |
| 2.3.2 Ethnicity   | 14 |
| 2.3.3 Income  | 15 |
| 2.3.4 Education   | 15 |
| 2.3.5 Family History  | 15 |
| 2.3.6 Access to Health Care Providers   | 16 |
| 2.3.7 Insurance and Income Concerns   | 16 |
| 2.4 Racial Disparity in Uptake of Screening and Biopsy                        | 17 |
| 2.4.1 Environment   |    |
| 2.4.2 Sociocultural Barriers  |    |
| 2.4.3 Prostate Cancer Screening Belief  | 19 |
| 2.4.4 Prostate Cancer Knowledge   | 20 |
| 2.5 Theoretical Models used to Explain Health-Related Behaviours              |    |
| 2.5.1 Health Belief Model   | 21 |
| 2.5.2 Limitations of Previous Research Using HBM                              | 23 |
| 2.6 Theory of Reasoned Action   |    |
| 2.6.1 Attitude  | 25 |
| 2.6.2 Subjective Norm   | 26 |
| 2.6.3 Intention to Screen for Prostate Cancer                                 | 26 |
| 2.7 Conceptual Framework  | 27 |
|   |    |
| CHAPTER THREE   |    |
| METHODS AND MATERIALS   |    |
| 3.1 Study Area  | 28 |
| 3.2 Study Design  |    |
| 3.3 Study Population  | 28 |
| 3.3.1 Inclusion Criteria  | 28 |
| 3.3.2 Exclusion Criteria  | 28 |

| 3.4 Study Sample Size Determination   | 9 |
|---|---|
| 3.5 Hypotheses  | 9 |
| 3.6 Sampling Frame and Sampling Procedure   | 9 |
| 3.7 Collection Tool   | 9 |
| 3.7.1 Instrument Development  | ) |
| 3.7.2 Questionnaire   | 0 |
| 3.7.3 Training of Research Assistants   | ) |
| 3.8 Study Variables30   | ) |
| 3.9 Pretest of Tool   | 1 |
| 3.10 Ethical Consideration  | l |
| 3.11 Data Management (Cleaning and Entry)   | 2 |
| 3.12 Data Analysis and Presentation   | 3 |
| 3.13 Dissemination Plan   | 3 |
|   |   |
| CHAPTER FOUR  |   |
| RESULTS   |   |
| 4.1 Socio-demographic Characteristics of Respondents  | 1 |
| 4.2a Knowledge of Participants on Prostate Cancer36   | 6 |
| 4.2b Knowledge of Participants on Prostate Biopsy   | 8 |
| 4.3 Belief of Participants about Prostate Cancer40  | Э |
| 4.4a: Attitude of Participants towards Prostate Cancer                                      | 3 |
| 4.4b: Attitude of Participants towards Prostate Biopsy                                      | 5 |
| 4.5: Preventive lifestyle of Participants towards Prostate Cancer                           | 7 |
| 4.6: Association between respondents' knowledge on prostate biopsy and attitude to Prostate | e |
| Biopsy  | 9 |
| 4.7: Influence of knowledge on prostate biopsy and attitude                                 | 0 |
| 4.8: Distribution of mean PSA across age group  | Į |
| CHAPTER FIVE  |   |
| 5.1 Discussion  | 2 |
| 5.1.1 Factors associated with Prostate Biopsy   | 2 |

| 5.1.2 Relationship between Mean PSA and Age groups of Participants        | 53             |
|---|----------------|
| 5.1.3 Association between Knowledge on Prostate Biopsy and Attitude to Pr | rostate Biopsy |
|   | 53             |
| 5.2 Study Limitations   | 54             |
| 5.3 Conclusion  | 55             |
| 5.4 Recommendation  | 55             |
| References  |                |
| Appendix i: Informed Consent  | 71             |
| Appendix ii: Questionnaire  | 74             |
| Appendix iii: Ethical Clearance Approval Certificate                      |                |

# **List of Tables**

| Table 4.1: Respondent's socio-demographic   | .35 |
|---|-----|
| Table 4.2a: Knowledge of Participants on Prostate Cancer                              | 37  |
| Table 4.2b: Knowledge of Participants on Prostate Biopsy                              | 39  |
| Table 4.3a: Belief Participants about prostate cancer                                 | 41  |
| Table 4.3b: Belief Participants about prostate cancer                                 | 41  |
| Table 4.4a: Attitude of Participants towards Prostate Cancer                          | .44 |
| Table 4.4b: Attitude of Participants towards Prostate Biopsy                          | .46 |
| Table 4.5: Preventive lifestyle of Participants towards prostate cancer               | .48 |
| Table 4.6: Association between respondents' knowledge on prostate biopsy and attitude | 49  |
| Table 4.7: Influence of knowledge of prostate problem on attitude                     |     |
| Table 4.8: Distribution of mean PSA across age group                                  | 51  |

# **List of Figures**

| Figure 2.2 Theor. |      | the relationships between TRA and                           |
|-------------------|------|---|
|                   |      |   |
|                   |      | ne relationships between the characterist<br>eards prostate |
|                   |      | 0   |
|                   |      | BI  |
|                   |      |   |
|                   |      |   |
|                   |      | <b>\Q</b> '   |
|                   |      | S   |
|                   | 4    |   |
|                   | , O' |   |
|                   |      |   |
|                   |      |   |
|                   | 6    |   |
| /.                | 5,   |   |
|                   | 5    |   |

| List  | of Appendices                          |       |
|-------|--|-------|
| Title |  | Page  |
| I.    | Informed Consent                       | 71-73 |
| II.   | Questionnaire                          | 74-75 |
| III.  | Ethical Clearance Approval Certificate |       |
|       |  |       |
|       |  | 05    |
|       |  | 8     |
|       |  |       |
|       |  | 7     |
|       | N P                                    |       |
|       |  |       |
|       | <b>&amp;</b> '                         |       |
|       |  |       |
|       | , O'                                   |       |
|       |  |       |
|       |  |       |
|       |  |       |
|       |  |       |
|       |  |       |
|       |  |       |
| ),    |  |       |

# **List of Abbreviations**

LMICs Low-and Middle-Income Countries

PSA Prostate Specific Antigen

HBM Health Belief Model

TB Tuberculosis

TRA Theory of Reasoned Action

PCa Prostate Cancer

IHME Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation

GLOBOCAN Global Cancer Project

UCH University College Hospital

DRE Digital Rectal Examination

TRUS-Bx Transrectal-ultrasound guided prostate biopsy

SOP Surgical Out-Patients

LGAS Local Governments Areas

### ABSTRACT

## **ABSTRACT**

Low participation among male population of 40 years old or more in Nigeria, who are at risk of prostate cancer, in prostate cancer screening, remains a significant burden, despite many research findings showing the importance of screening in preventing incidence and deaths from prostate cancer. However, a screening program cannot be successful unless the screening and diagnostic procedures are well understood and accepted by the targeted population. Therefore, understanding the influence of knowledge, attitude, belief and lifestyle of Nigerian men towards prostate biopsy is critical to provide intervention to this public health concern. This study was designed to investigate knowledge, attitude, belief and lifestyle of prostate biopsy among the participants as well as to generate information that can be used to develop strategies to improve the quality of care for the patients.

**Methods**: A cross-sectional study of about one hundred and thirty six (136) patients, whose PSA screening results is 2.0ng/ml or more, attending urology unit of SOP clinics in UCH, for which the clinicians have a suspicion of prostate cancer, were recruited and interviewed after obtaining their informed consent.

Results: This study recruited 136 participants with mean age of 67.34±11.01 years. The overall average PSA value is 15ng/ml and the mean PSA across the age group showed no statistical significance. 8.8% and 47.8% of the participants had score of good knowledge on prostate cancer and biopsy respectively while 87.5% and 99.3% had positive attitude towards prostate cancer and biopsy respectively. Chi square revealed statistically significant association between knowledge of patients and attitude towards prostate biopsy (p=0.001). Regression analysis showed that respondents with good knowledge on prostate cancer and biopsy are 4 times more likely to have positive attitude prostate biopsy compare to people with poor knowledge.

Conclusion: This study identified some of the salient issues and factors associated with prostate biopsy among patients attending the urology clinics in University college Hospital, Ibadan. Prime among the study findings is the relatively poor knowledge of the participants on prostate cancer and biopsy which had a significant association with their attitude towards this health risk-reduction behaviour. Therefore, it is essential that men with urological disorders, who are suspected for prostate cancer, should be provided with multiple opportunities of becoming more aware of prostate cancer, its diagnostic methods and means to preventing it. Hence, more interventional efforts should be directed at developing strategies needed to

increase awareness of Nigerian men towards prostate biopsy as well as improving the and prostate control of pr healthcare providers' understanding of the factors associated with prostate biopsy which may